

Del Pezzo surfaces and representation theory

Vera Serganova and Alexei Skorobogatov

to Yuri Ivanovich Manin on his 70th birthday

Abstract

Manin discovered that del Pezzo surfaces are related to root systems. Batyrev conjectured that a universal torsor on a del Pezzo surface can be embedded into a certain projective homogeneous space of the semisimple group with the same root system, equivariantly with respect to the maximal torus action. Computational proofs of this conjecture based on the structure of the Cox ring have been recently given by Popov and Derenthal. We give a new proof of Batyrev's conjecture using an inductive process interpreting the blowing-up of a point on a del Pezzo surface in terms of representations of Lie algebras corresponding to Hermitian symmetric pairs.

Introduction

Del Pezzo surfaces, classically defined as smooth surfaces of degree d in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^d$, $d \geq 3$, are among the most studied and best understood of algebraic varieties. Over an algebraically closed ground field such a surface is the quadric $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ with $r = 9 - d$ points in general position blown up; in this definition d can be any integer between 1 and 9. Despite the apparent simplicity the enumerative geometry of these surfaces displays amazing symmetries and puzzling coincidences. The 27 lines on a smooth cubic surface were discovered by Cayley and Salmon, and the symmetries of their configuration were explored by Schoutte, Coxeter and Du Val. A breakthrough came in the late 1960-s when Manin [16] discovered that to a del Pezzo surface X of degree $d = 9 - r$, $d \leq 6$, one can attach a root system R_r in such a way that the automorphism group of the incidence graph of the exceptional curves on X is the Weyl group $W(R_r)$. These root systems are embedded into one another: $R_8 = E_8$, and as r decreases one chops one by one the nodes off the long end of the Dynkin diagram of E_8 , until the diagram becomes disconnected. Let α_r be the simple root of R_r corresponding to the node which must be removed from the Dynkin diagram of R_r in order to obtain that of R_{r-1} ; let ω_r be the fundamental weight dual to α_r . For $r = 4, 5, 6, 7$ the number of exceptional curves on X is $|W(R_r)/W(R_{r-1})| = 10, 16, 27, 56$, respectively, and this is also the

dimension of the irreducible minuscule representation $V(\omega_r)$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_r$ of type R_r with the highest weight ω_r . It is tempting to try to recover the Lie algebra directly from a del Pezzo surface, but one has to bear in mind that the del Pezzo surfaces of degree $d \leq 5$ depend on $10 - 2d$ moduli, so that the Lie algebra should somehow take into account all del Pezzo surfaces of given degree (see [17], and also [10], [15]).

Universal torsors were introduced by Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc in the 1970-s in a seemingly unrelated line of research (see [3] or [22]). If X is a smooth projective variety over a field k , then an X -torsor under a torus T is a pair (Y, f) , where Y is a variety over k with a free action of T , and f is an affine morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ whose fibres are orbits of T . An X -torsor is universal if all invertible regular functions on Y are constant, and the Picard group of Y is trivial (see Section 1 for details). Then T is isomorphic to the Néron–Severi torus of X , i.e., the algebraic torus dual to the Picard lattice of X over an algebraic closure of k . In the work of Colliot-Thélène, Sansuc, Swinnerton-Dyer, Salberger and the second named author (see the references in [22]) the birational geometry of universal torsors on del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 3 and 4 played a crucial role in gaining some understanding of rational points on these surfaces over number fields, for example, the Hasse principle, weak approximation, the Brauer–Manin obstruction, the R-equivalence. The work of Batyrev, Tschinkel, Peyre, Salberger, Hassett, de la Bretèche, Heath-Brown, Browning and others on the Manin–Batyrev conjectures on the number of rational points of bounded height, highlighted the importance of explicitly describing universal torsors as algebraic varieties, and not merely their birational structure. However, in the most interesting cases such as those of (smooth) del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 3 and 4, explicit equations of universal torsors turned out to be quite complicated to write down explicitly.

Around 1990, Victor Batyrev told the second named author about his conjecture relating universal torsors on del Pezzo surfaces to certain projective homogeneous spaces. Let G_r be the simply connected semisimple group of type R_r . We fix a maximal torus $H_r \subset G_r$, and a basis of simple roots in the character group of H_r . Let $P_r \subset G_r$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup defined by the root α_r (the stabilizer of the line spanned by the highest weight vector of $V(\omega_r)$). Batyrev conjectured that a universal torsor $\mathcal{T}$ on a del Pezzo surface X of degree $d = 9 - r$ over an algebraically closed field can be embedded into the affine cone $(G_r/P_r)_a \subset V(\omega_r)$ over G_r/P_r , equivariantly with respect to the action of the Néron–Severi torus T_r of X , identified with an extension of H_r by the scalar matrices $\mathbf{G}_m$. Moreover, the exceptional curves on X should be the images of the weight hyperplane sections of $\mathcal{T}$ (that is, the intersections of $\mathcal{T}$ with the H_r -invariant hyperplanes in $V(\omega_r)$). Inspired by these ideas, the second named author showed in [23] that the set of stable points of the affine cone over the Grassmannian $G(3, 5)$ with respect to the action of the diagonal torus of $\mathrm{SL}(5)$, is a universal torsor over a del Pezzo surface

of degree 5 which is the GIT quotient by this action. Batyrev's line of attack on the general case of his conjecture uses the Cox ring of X , which can be interpreted as the ring of regular functions on a universal torsor over X . Indeed, Batyrev and Popov [1] (see also [6]) found the generators and relations of the Cox ring, which enabled Popov in his unpublished thesis [21] in the case $d = 4$ and Derenthal [5] in the cases $d = 3$ and $d = 2$ to prove Batyrev's conjecture by identifying the generators with the weights of $V(\omega_r)$, and comparing the relations with the well known equations of G_r/P_r . The proofs of [21] and [5] are based on a substantial amount of calculation which grows rapidly with r , and do not seem to give much insight into why things work this way.

In the present work we prove Batyrev's conjecture for del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 4, 3 and 2 using a totally different approach, via the representation theory of Lie algebras. We start with the known case of a del Pezzo surface of degree 5. (Alternatively, one could start with the simpler though somewhat irregular case of degree 6, see [1].) Let $\mathfrak{p}_r$ be the Lie algebra of $P_r \subset G_r$. We build an inductive process based on the remark that the pair (R_r, α_r) for $r = 4, 5, 6, 7$ is a Hermitian symmetric pair, which is saying that the complementary nilpotent algebra of $\mathfrak{p}_r$ in $\mathfrak{g}_r$ is commutative. We show that $V(\omega_r)$, as a $\mathfrak{g}_{r-1}$ -module, has a direct factor isomorphic to $V(\omega_{r-1})$, and that the restriction of the projection $V(\omega_r) \rightarrow V(\omega_{r-1})$ to a certain open subset $U \subset (G_r/P_r)_a$ is the composition of a $\mathbf{G}_m$ -torsor and a morphism inverse to the blowing-up of $V(\omega_{r-1}) \setminus \{0\}$ at $(G_{r-1}/P_{r-1})_a \setminus \{0\}$ (see Corollary 4.2). Now we can explain the main idea of our proof. Suppose that a universal torsor $\mathcal{T}$ over a del Pezzo surface X of degree $9 - (r - 1)$ is T_{r-1} -equivariantly embedded into the affine cone $(G_{r-1}/P_{r-1})_a \subset V(\omega_{r-1})$. Let M be a point on X outside of the exceptional curves, and $\text{Bl}_M(X)$ the blowing-up of X at M . The space $V(\omega_{r-1})$ is a direct sum of 1-dimensional weight spaces of H_{r-1} , so that the torus consisting of the diagonal matrices with respect to a weight basis of $V(\omega_{r-1})$ does not depend on the choice of this basis. We show how to choose an element t_M of this torus so that the translation $t_M^{-1}(G_{r-1}/P_{r-1})_a$ intersects $\mathcal{T}$ exactly in the fibre of $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ over M . Then the closure of the inverse image of $t_M(\mathcal{T} \setminus f^{-1}(M))$ in U is a universal torsor over $\text{Bl}_M(X)$. This yields a T_r -equivariant embedding of this universal torsor into $(G_r/P_r)_a$. We then show that the image of this embedding is contained in the open subset of stable points with a free action of the Néron–Severi torus, so that $\text{Bl}_M(X)$ embeds into the corresponding quotient.

Here is the structure of the paper. In Section 1 we recall equivalent definitions and some basic properties of universal torsors. In Section 2 we prove that the left action of a maximal torus of G on G/P , where P is a maximal parabolic subgroup of a semisimple algebraic group G , turns the set of stable points with free action of the maximal torus into a universal torsor on an open subset of the GIT quotient of G/P by this action (with an explicit list of exceptions, see Theorem 2.7 for a precise statement). In Section 3 we recall the necessary background from the

representation theory of Lie algebras. The implications for the structure of the projection of $(G_r/P_r)_a$ to $V(\omega_{r-1})$ are studied in Section 4. In Section 5 we list some well known properties of del Pezzo surfaces. Our main result, Theorem 6.1, is stated and proved in Section 6.

The second named author is grateful to the Centre de recherches mathématiques de l'Université de Montréal, the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, and the organizers of the special semester “Rational and integral points on higher-dimensional varieties” for hospitality and support.

1 Universal torsors

Let k be a field of characteristic 0 with an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$. Let X be a geometrically integral variety over k . We write $\bar{X}$ for $X \times_k \bar{k}$. We denote by $\bar{k}[X]$ the $\bar{k}$ -algebra of regular functions on $\bar{X}$, and by $\bar{k}[X]^*$ the group of its invertible elements.

Let T be an algebraic k -torus, that is, an algebraic group such that $\bar{T} \simeq \mathbf{G}_m^n$ for some n . Let $\hat{T} \simeq \mathbf{Z}^n$ be the group of characters of T . The Galois group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ naturally acts on $\hat{T}$.

For generalities on torsors the reader is referred to [22]. An X -torsor under T is a pair $(\mathcal{T}, f)$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a k -variety with an action of T , and $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is a morphism such that locally in étale topology $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is T -equivariantly isomorphic to $X \times_k T$. The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 1.1 *Suppose that a k -torus T acts on a k -variety Y with trivial stabilizers, and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is an affine morphism of k -varieties whose fibres are orbits of T . Then $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is a torsor under T .*

Proof The property of g to be a torsor can be checked locally on X . Let U be an open affine subset of X . Since g is affine, $g^{-1}(U)$ is also affine ([12], II, 5, Exercise 5.17). Since the stabilizers of all $\bar{k}$ -points of $g^{-1}(U)$ are trivial, by a corollary of Luna’s étale slice theorem (see [18], p. 153) the natural map $g^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is a torsor under T . The lemma follows. QED

Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc associated to a torsor $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ under a torus T the exact sequence of Γ -modules ([3], 2.1.1)

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{k}[X]^*/\bar{k}^* \rightarrow \bar{k}[\mathcal{T}]^*/\bar{k}^* \rightarrow \hat{T} \rightarrow \text{Pic } \bar{X} \rightarrow \text{Pic } \bar{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Here the second and the fifth arrows are induced by f . The fourth arrow is called the *type* of $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$. To define it consider the natural pairing compatible with the action of the Galois group Γ :

$$\cup : H^1(\bar{X}, T) \times \hat{T} \rightarrow H^1(\bar{X}, \mathbf{G}_m) = \text{Pic } \bar{X},$$

where the cohomology groups are in étale or Zariski topology. The type sends $\chi \in \hat{T}$ to $[\overline{\mathcal{T}}] \cup \chi$, where $[\overline{\mathcal{T}}] \in H^1(\overline{X}, T)$ is the class of the torsor $\overline{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \overline{X}$. A torsor $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is called *universal* if its type is an isomorphism. If the variety X is projective, (1) gives the following characterisation of universal torsors: an X -torsor under a torus is universal if and only if $\text{Pic } \overline{\mathcal{T}} = 0$ and $\overline{k}[\mathcal{T}]^* = \overline{k}^*$, that is, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ has no non-constant invertible regular functions.

We now give an equivalent definition of type which does not involve cohomology. Let $K = \overline{k}(X)$ be the function field of $\overline{X}$, and $\mathcal{T}_K$ the generic fibre of $\overline{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \overline{X}$. By Hilbert's Theorem 90 the K -torsor $\mathcal{T}_K$ is trivial, that is, is isomorphic to $T_K = T \times_k K$. By Rosenlicht's lemma we have an isomorphism of Γ -modules $K[\mathcal{T}_K]^*/K^* = K[T_K]^*/K^* = \hat{T}$. This isomorphism associates to a character $\chi \in \hat{T}$ a rational function $\phi \in \overline{k}(\mathcal{T})^*$ such that $\phi(tx) = \chi(t)\phi(x)$; the function ϕ is well defined up to an element of $K^* = \overline{k}(X)^*$. The divisor of ϕ on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ does not meet the generic fibre $\mathcal{T}_K$, and hence comes from a divisor on $\overline{X}$ defined up to a principal divisor. We obtain a well defined class $\tau(\chi)$ in $\text{Pic } \overline{X}$.

Lemma 1.2 *The map $\tau : \hat{T} \rightarrow \text{Pic } \overline{X}$ coincides with the type of $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ up to sign.*

Proof According to [22], Lemma 2.3.1 (ii), the type associates to χ the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_\chi$ of χ -semiinvariants of the sheaf $f_*(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{T})$. The function ϕ is a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_\chi$, hence the class of its divisor represents $\mathcal{O}_\chi \in \text{Pic } \overline{X}$. QED

For the sake of completeness we note that if $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is a universal torsor, then the group of divisors on $\overline{X}$ is naturally identified with $K[\mathcal{T}_K]^*/\overline{k}^*$; this identifies the semigroup of effective divisors on $\overline{X}$ with $(K[\mathcal{T}_K]^* \cap \overline{k}[\mathcal{T}])/\overline{k}^*$.

We have $\overline{k}[\mathcal{T}] = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{T}} \overline{k}[\mathcal{T}]_\chi$, where $\overline{k}[\mathcal{T}]_\chi$ is the set of regular functions ϕ on $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\phi(tx) = \chi(t)\phi(x)$ for any t in T . We also define $\overline{k}(\mathcal{T})_\chi$ as the set of rational functions on $\mathcal{T}$ satisfying the same condition. Since $\overline{k}(\mathcal{T})_\chi$ is the group of rational sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_\chi$, we have $\overline{k}[\mathcal{T}]_\chi = H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}_\chi)$. Hence if the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_\chi$ defines a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}_\chi)^*)$, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T} & \rightarrow & \overline{k}[\mathcal{T}]_\chi^* \setminus \{0\} = H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}_\chi)^* \setminus \{0\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}(H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}_\chi)^*) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

2 G/P and the torus quotient

Let G be a split simple simply connected algebraic group over k , with a split maximal torus $H \subset G$; in this case the root system R of G relative to H is irreducible. Write $\hat{H}$ for the character group of H . We use the standard notation $Q(R)$ for the lattice

generated by the simple roots, then $P(R) = \hat{H}$ is the dual lattice generated by the fundamental weights. We denote the Weyl group by $W = W(R)$.

Let $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be an irreducible representation of G with a fundamental highest weight $\omega \in \hat{H}$. Let $v \in V$ be a highest weight vector. The stabilizer of the line kv is a maximal parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$. The homogeneous space G/P is thus a smooth projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ (indeed, the only closed orbit of G in $\mathbb{P}(V)$). We write $\hat{P}$ (resp. $\hat{G}$) for the character group of P (resp. of G). Let $\varepsilon : \hat{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic} G/P$ be the map associating to the character $\chi \in \hat{P}$ the G/P -torsor under $\mathbf{G}_m$ defined as the quotient of $G \times \mathbf{G}_m$ by P , where $p \in P$ sends (g, t) to $(gp^{-1}, \chi(p)t)$. This map fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic} G/P \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic} G \rightarrow 0.$$

Since G is semisimple and simply connected we have $\hat{G} = \mathrm{Pic} G = 0$, so that ε is an isomorphism (see, e.g., [20]). Since $\hat{P}$ is the subgroup of $\hat{H}$ generated by ω , we see that $\mathrm{Pic} G/P$ is generated by the hyperplane section class. This fact implies the following elementary statement from projective geometry.

Lemma 2.1 *Let L_1 and L_2 be distinct hyperplanes in the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Then $(G/P) \cap L_1 \cap L_2$ has codimension 2 in G/P .*

Proof Since $\mathrm{Pic}(G/P)$ is generated by the class of a hyperplane section, for any hyperplane $L \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ the closed subset $(G/P) \cap L$ is irreducible of codimension 1, and the intersection has multiplicity 1. If the codimension of $(G/P) \cap L_1 \cap L_2$ in G/P is 1, we have $(G/P) \cap L_1 \cap L_2 = (G/P) \cap L$ for any L in the linear family spanned by L_1 and L_2 . Choosing L passing through a point of G/P not contained in L_1 , we deduce a contradiction. QED

By the irreducibility of V the centre $Z(G)$ acts diagonally on V , and hence it acts trivially on $\mathbb{P}(V)$. For a $\bar{k}$ -point $x \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ we denote the stabilizer of x in H by $\mathrm{St}_H(x)$. We now show that for x in a dense open subset of G/P we have $\mathrm{St}_H(x) = Z(G)$, and determine the points such that $\mathrm{St}_H(x)$ is strictly bigger than $Z(G)$.

Proposition 2.2 *Let x be a $\bar{k}$ -point of G/P , and let K_x be the connected component of the centralizer of $\mathrm{St}_H(x)$ in G . Then we have the following properties:*

- (i) K_x is a reductive subgroup of G , $H \subset K_x$;
- (ii) $x \in K_x wv = K_x / (wPw^{-1} \cap K_x)$ for some $w \in W$;
- (iii) $Z(K_x) = \mathrm{St}_H(x)$;
- (iv) $\mathrm{St}_H(x)$ is finite if and only if K_x is semisimple, in which case the ranks of K_x and G are equal.

Proof If $\text{St}_H(x) = Z(G)$, then $K_x = G$, and all the statements are clearly true. Assume that $\text{St}_H(x)$ is bigger than $Z(G)$, then K_x is a closed subgroup of G , $K_x \neq G$.

Let $\mathfrak{k}_x$ be the Lie algebra of K_x ; explicitly $\mathfrak{k}_x \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the fixed set of $\text{Ad}(\text{St}_H(x))$. Since $\mathfrak{k}_x$ contains the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, it has a root decomposition $\mathfrak{k}_x = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in S} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where $S \subset R$. Let $\exp_\alpha \in \hat{H}$ be the multiplicative character defined by the root $\alpha \in R$. The space $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ consists of $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\text{Ad}(h)y = \exp_\alpha(h)y$ for all $h \in H$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{k}_x$ if and only if $\text{St}_H(x) \subset H$ is in the kernel of $\exp_\alpha$. Therefore $S = -S$, so that $\mathfrak{k}_x$ is reductive, and hence so is K_x .

The fixed points of H in G/P come from the points wv , where $w \in W$. One of these, say $x_0 = wv$, is contained in the closure of the orbit Hx . The stabilizer of x_0 in G is the parabolic subgroup wPw^{-1} . To prove (ii) we need to show that x belongs to the K_x -orbit of x_0 . Let $N \subset G$ be the unipotent subgroup complementary to wPw^{-1} , that is, such that the corresponding Lie algebras satisfy $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{wPw}^{-1}$. Then $N \cap wPw^{-1} = \{1\}$, and the N -orbit of the line kx_0 is the open Schubert cell $Nx_0 \subset G/wPw^{-1} \simeq G/P$. The intersection of this open Schubert cell with Hx is a non-empty open subset of Hx , thus there is a $\bar{k}$ -point $x_1 \in Hx \cap Nx_0$. We can write $x_1 = u.x_0$ for some $u \in N$. The complement to the union of connected components of the centralizer of $\text{St}_H(x)$ other than K_x , is an open neighbourhood of 1 in G . We choose x_1 in such a way that u belongs to this open set. Since $H \subset K_x$, the points x and x_1 are in the same K_x -orbit, so that it is enough to show that $x_1 \in K_x x_0$. Any $t \in \text{St}_H(x)$ fixes both x_1 and x_0 , thus $x_1 = u.x_0 = t^{-1}ut.x_0$. Therefore, $u^{-1}t^{-1}ut$ fixes x_0 , hence $u^{-1}t^{-1}ut \in wPw^{-1}$. On the other hand, H normalizes N , thus $t^{-1}ut \in N$, implying $u^{-1}t^{-1}ut \in N$. Since the intersection of wPw^{-1} and N is $\{1\}$, we see that u and t commute. By the choice of x_1 we see that u is in the connected component of 1 of the centralizer of $\text{St}_H(x)$, that is, $u \in K_x$. This completes the proof of (ii).

The centre of K_x is contained in every maximal torus, in particular, in H . Any element of $Z(K_x)$ fixes x , since $x \in K_x/(wPw^{-1} \cap K_x)$, so that $Z(K_x) \subset \text{St}_H(x)$. On the other hand, every element of $\text{St}_H(x)$ commutes with K_x by the definition of K_x . But $\text{St}_H(x) \subset H \subset K_x$, hence $\text{St}_H(x) \subset Z(K_x)$. This proves (iii).

The rank of the semisimple part of K_x equals the rank of G if and only if $Z(K_x)$ is finite. If $Z(K_x)$ is finite, then K_x is semisimple by definition. Thus (iv) follows from (iii). QED

Let us fix a weight basis in V , that is, a basis in which H is diagonal. The weight of a coordinate is the character of H by which H acts on it. Denote by Λ the set of weights of H in V , and by $\text{wt}(x)$ the set of weights of $x \in G/P$, that is, the weights of the non-vanishing coordinates of x .

Corollary 2.3 *Assume that R is simply laced. Then the codimension of the set of $\bar{k}$ -points $x \in G/P$ such that $\text{St}_H(x)$ is finite, and $\text{St}_H(x) \neq Z(G)$, is at least 2.*

Proof By Proposition 2.2 and W -invariance it is sufficient to show that the codimension of Kv in Gv is at least 2 for any proper connected semisimple subgroup $K \subset G$

containing H . (The set of such subgroups is clearly finite.)

For any $x \in G/P$ the property $\text{wt}(x) = \Lambda$ implies $\text{St}_H(x) = Z(G)$. Let $V' \subset V$ be the irreducible representation of K generated by v . Denote by Λ' the set of weights of V' , and write $V = V' \oplus U$, where U is another K -invariant subspace. First, we claim that $\Lambda' \neq \Lambda$ because otherwise one can find $x \in \mathbb{P}(Kv)$ such that $\text{wt}(x) = \Lambda$, and $\text{St}_H(x) = Z(G) = Z(K)$ would imply $K = G$. In particular, $U \neq 0$. If $\dim U > 1$, then the codimension of $Kv \subset Gv \cap V'$ is at least 2 by Lemma 2.1.

If $\dim U = 1$, then U is a trivial representation of K and 0 is not a weight of V' . But then U is invariant under the action of the Weyl group W . Therefore wKw^{-1} acts trivially on U for any $w \in W$. If $a \in R$ is a root of K , then $w(a)$ is a root of wKw^{-1} , but in the simply laced case W acts transitively on R , hence the subgroups wKw^{-1} , $w \in W$, generate the whole group G . Thus, U is G -invariant, but that contradicts the irreducibility of V . QED

Recall that a $\bar{k}$ -point $x \in V$ is called *stable* for the action of H if the orbit Hx is closed, and the stabilizer of x in H is finite ([18], p. 194). We always consider stability with respect to the action of H , and drop the reference to H when it causes no confusion.

For a subset $M \subset \hat{H}$ we write $\text{Conv}(M)$ for the convex hull of M in the vector space $\hat{H} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. It is well known that $\text{Conv}(\Lambda) = \text{Conv}(W\omega)$ ([11], [8], see [4], Prop. 2.2 (i) for a short proof). The Hilbert–Mumford numerical criterion of stability says that x is stable if and only if 0 belongs to the interior of $\text{Conv}(\text{wt}(x))$ ([7], Thm. 9.2).

In the following statement and thereafter the numeration of the nodes of Dynkin diagrams, simple roots and fundamental weights follows the conventions of [2].

Proposition 2.4 *Assume that the pair (R, ω) is not in the following list:*

$$(R_r, \omega_1), (A_r, \omega_r), (A_3, \omega_2), (B_2, \omega_2), (C_2, \omega_2), (D_4, \omega_3), (D_4, \omega_4), \quad (3)$$

where R_r is A_r , B_r , C_r , or D_r . Let x be a point of $V \otimes_k \bar{k}$ such that no two elements of $W\omega \setminus \text{wt}(x)$ are adjacent vertices of $\text{Conv}(W\omega)$. Then x is stable.

In particular, the set of unstable points of G/P has codimension at least 2.

Proof Since $\sum_{w \in W} w\omega = 0$, the point 0 is contained in the interior of $\text{Conv}(W\omega) = \text{Conv}(\Lambda)$ in $\hat{H} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Thus if all the coordinates of x with weights in $W\omega$ are non-zero, then x is stable.

Now assume that exactly one such coordinate of x is zero; because of the action of W it is no loss of generality to assume that it corresponds to ω . The dimension of the corresponding eigenspace is 1, so to check that x is stable it is enough to show that 0 lies in the interior of $\text{Conv}(W\omega \setminus \{\omega\})$. The vertices of $\text{Conv}(W\omega)$ adjacent to ω are $\omega - w\alpha$, where α is the root dual to ω , for all w in the stabilizer of ω in

W (see [8], Lemma 3 and Cor. 2). All these are contained in the hyperplane $L = 0$, where

$$L(y) = (y, \omega) - (\omega^2) + (\omega, \alpha) = (y, \omega) - (\omega^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2).$$

We have $L(\omega) > 0$. Thus 0 belongs to the interior of $\text{Conv}(W\omega \setminus \{\omega\})$ if and only if ω and 0 are separated by this hyperplane, that is, if and only if $L(0) < 0$. Therefore, we need to check the condition

$$(\omega^2) > \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2).$$

Note that the numbers $2(\omega^2)/(\alpha^2)$, for all possible fundamental weights, are the diagonal elements of the inverse Cartan matrix of R . A routine verification using the tables of [2] or [19] shows that this inequality is satisfied for the pairs (R, ω) not in the list (3).

Finally, let $W\omega \setminus \text{wt}(x) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. By assumption $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ correspond to pairwise non-adjacent vertices of $\text{Conv}(W\omega)$. Thus

$$\text{Conv}(W\omega \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Conv}(W\omega \setminus \{\lambda_i\}).$$

Since 0 is in the interior of each convex hull in the right hand side, it is also in the interior of $\text{Conv}(\text{wt}(x))$.

The last statement is an application of Lemma 2.1. QED

Definition 2.5 *Let $T \subset \text{GL}(V)$ be the torus generated by the image of H in $\text{GL}(V)$ and the scalar matrices $\mathbf{G}_m \subset \text{GL}(V)$. We write $(G/P)_a$ for the affine cone over G/P in V , and $(G/P)_a^{sf}$ for the open subset of stable points with trivial stabilizers in T .*

By the irreducibility of V , the stabilizer of $x \in V \otimes_k \bar{k}$, $v \neq 0$, in T is trivial if and only if $\text{St}_H(\text{pr}(x)) = Z(G)$, where $\text{pr}(x)$ is the image of x in $\mathbb{P}(V)$.

Lemma 2.6 *There exist a smooth quasi-projective variety Y and an affine morphism $f : (G/P)_a^{sf} \rightarrow Y$ which is a torsor with structure group T with respect to its natural left action on G/P .*

Proof By the geometric invariant theory there exist a quasi-projective variety Y and an affine morphism $f : (G/P)_a^{sf} \rightarrow Y$ such that every fibre of f is an orbit of T ([18], Thm. 1.10 (iii)). Since the stabilizers of all $\bar{k}$ -points of $(G/P)_a^{sf}$ are trivial, Lemma 1.1 implies that $f : (G/P)_a^{sf} \rightarrow Y$ is a torsor under T . The smoothness of Y follows from the smoothness of $(G/P)_a$, since a torsor is locally trivial in étale topology. QED

Theorem 2.7 *Assume that the root system R is simply laced, and the pair (R, ω) is not in the list (3). Then the only invertible regular functions on $(G/P)_a^{sf}$ are constants, so that $f : (G/P)_a^{sf} \rightarrow Y$ is a universal torsor.*

Proof By Lemma 2.6 we need to show that $\text{Pic } \overline{\mathcal{T}} = 0$ and $\overline{k}[\mathcal{T}]^* = \overline{k}^*$ for $\mathcal{T} = (G/P)_a^{sf}$ (see Section 1). The Picard group of $(G/P)_a$ is trivial since that of G/P is generated by the class of a hyperplane section. Thus it suffices to show that the complement to $(G/P)_a^{sf}$ in $(G/P)_a$ has codimension at least 2. The set of unstable points has codimension at least 2, by Proposition 2.4. The closed subset of its complement consisting of the stable points with non-trivial (finite) stabilizers in T , also has codimension at least 2, as follows from Corollary 2.3. QED

3 Hermitian symmetric pairs

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra over the field k with Chevalley basis $\{H_\beta, X_\gamma\}$, where γ is a root of R , and $H_\beta = [X_\beta, X_{-\beta}]$, where β is a simple root of R .

A simple root α of $\mathfrak{g}$ defines a $\mathbf{Z}$ -grading on $\mathfrak{g}$ in the following way. We set $\deg(X_\alpha) = 1$, $\deg(X_{-\alpha}) = -1$, $\deg(X_{\pm\beta}) = 0$ for all other simple roots $\beta \neq \alpha$, and $\deg(H_\beta) = 0$ for all simple roots β . Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=-l(\alpha)}^{l(\alpha)} \mathfrak{g}_i, \quad (4)$$

where $l(\alpha)$ is the *label* of α , that is, the coefficient of α in the decomposition of the maximal root as a linear combination of the simple roots. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ is the parabolic subalgebra defined by α , and $\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{i < 0} \mathfrak{g}_i$ is the complementary nilpotent algebra. The centre of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is one-dimensional, so that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = Z(\mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$, where $\mathfrak{g}'$ is the semisimple Lie algebra whose Dynkin diagram is that of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the node corresponding to α removed.

It is clear from (4) that $l(\alpha) = 1$ if and only if $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = 0$. The following terminology has its origin in the theory of symmetric spaces, see [13], Ch. VIII.

Definition 3.1 *The pair (R, α) is a Hermitian symmetric pair if $l(\alpha) = 1$, or, equivalently, if $\mathfrak{n}$ is a commutative Lie algebra.*

If R is simply laced, then (R, α) is a Hermitian symmetric pair if and only if $R = A_n$, or if it is one of the following pairs: (D_n, α_i) , where $i = 1, n-1$ or n , (E_6, α_1) , (E_6, α_6) , and (E_7, α_7) .

We now assume that $\mathfrak{n}$ is commutative. Our next goal is to explore the implications of this assumption for the restriction of the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V to the semisimple

subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'$. We write $U(\mathfrak{l})$ for the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$, and $S(W)$ for the symmetric algebra of the vector space W . Since $\mathfrak{n}$ is commutative we have $U(\mathfrak{n}) = S(\mathfrak{n})$.

The line kv is a 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{p}$ -submodule of V , hence the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V is the quotient of the induced module $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} kv$ by the submodule generated by $X_{-\alpha}^2 v$. (This follows from the construction of V as the quotient of the Verma module by the submodule generated by $X_{-\beta} v$ for the simple roots $\beta \neq \alpha$, and $X_{-\alpha}^2 v$.) By the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem we have $U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k U(\mathfrak{n})$. The line kv is a trivial $\mathfrak{g}'$ -module. Therefore, the $\mathfrak{g}'$ -module $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} kv$ is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{n}) = S(\mathfrak{n})$, so that the finite dimensional vector space V inherits the $\mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$ -graded commutative k -algebra structure from $S(\mathfrak{n})$, $V = \bigoplus_{n \leq 0} V^n$. We turn this grading into a $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -grading by setting $V_n = V^{-n}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}'$ has grading 0, the direct sum $V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n$ is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{g}'$ -modules, and we can write

$$V = k \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus S^{\geq 2}(\mathfrak{n})/S(\mathfrak{n})U(\mathfrak{g}')X_{-\alpha}^2,$$

where $k = V_0$, $\mathfrak{n} = V_1$. Note that $1 \in V_0$ is a highest weight vector; it generates V as a $S(\mathfrak{n})$ -module.

Lemma 3.2 *Let (R, α) be a Hermitian symmetric pair. Then the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}'$ on $V_1 = \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is the irreducible representation such that $X_{-\alpha}$ is a highest weight vector. If R is simply laced, then the highest weight ω' of V_1 is the sum of the fundamental weights corresponding to the nodes of the Dynkin diagram of R adjacent to the node α .*

Proof We have $[X_\beta, X_{-\alpha}] = 0$ for all simple roots $\beta \neq \alpha$, so that $X_{-\alpha}$ is annihilated by the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}'$. Every root of $\mathfrak{n}$ is the sum of $-\alpha$ and a root of $\mathfrak{g}'$, so that $\mathfrak{n}$ is generated by $X_{-\alpha}$ as a $\mathfrak{g}'$ -module. The computation of the weight of $X_{-\alpha}$ is immediate from the defining relations among the elements of the Chevalley basis. QED

We have the exponential map

$$\exp : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{n}), \quad \exp(u) = 1 + u + \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{3!}u^3 + \dots$$

Let G be the simply connected semisimple algebraic k -group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $P \subset G$ the parabolic subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$, and N the unipotent k -group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$. By the Chevalley construction of the Lie group from its Lie algebra, N acts on V by the rule $1 + x \mapsto \exp(x)$. Recall that the open Schubert cell of $G/P \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ is the N -orbit of the highest weight vector, and hence is identified with $\exp(\mathfrak{n})$. (In particular, $\dim G/P = \dim V_1$.) Thus $\exp(x)$ is a polynomial G' -equivariant map

$$\exp : V_1 \rightarrow (G/P)_a \subset V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n.$$

Let $p : V_1 = \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow V_2$ be the degree 2 graded component of $\exp(x)$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let G' be the simply connected semisimple k -group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$, and $P' \subset G'$ the parabolic subgroup which is the stabilizer of the line spanned by the highest weight vector $X_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n}$. The restriction of $\exp(x)$ to $(G'/P')_a$ coincides with $(1, \text{id}, 0, 0, \dots)$. We have $(G'/P')_a = p^{-1}(0)$, and the ideal of $(G'/P')_a$ is generated by the coordinates of $p(x)$.*

Proof It is clear that every graded component of $\exp(x)$ of degree at least 2 sends the orbit $(G'/P')_a$ of the highest weight vector $X_{-\alpha}$ to 0. Indeed, $X_{-\alpha}^m$ is in the kernel of the natural map $S^m(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow V_m$, for $m \geq 2$. To prove the second statement let us observe that the symmetric square $S^2(\mathfrak{n})$ decomposes as the direct sum of V_2 and the $\mathfrak{g}'$ -submodule generated by $X_{-\alpha}^2$, which is the irreducible representation $V(2\omega')$ with highest weight $2\omega'$. It is well known ([14], proof of Thm. 1.1, or [1], Prop. 4.2) that the orbit of the highest weight vector is the intersection of the second Veronese embedding with $V(2\omega')$. This completes the proof. QED

Consider the following series of root systems:

$$A_4 \subset D_5 \subset E_6 \subset E_7. \quad (5)$$

Let (R, α) be one of the Hermitian symmetric pairs

$$(A_4, \alpha_3), \quad (D_5, \alpha_5), \quad (E_6, \alpha_6), \quad (E_7, \alpha_7), \quad (6)$$

where the roots are numbered as in [2]. By Lemma 3.2 the pair (G', P') is defined by (R', α') which is the previous pair to (R, α) in (6). In other words, P' corresponds to the only node of the smaller diagram adjacent to α . (If G is of type A_4 , then G' is of type $A_1 \times A_2$, $G'/P' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, but we shall not have to consider this case.)

We note that the fundamental weight ω dual to α is minuscule, that is, the weights of V are $W\omega$, and Wv is a basis of V (see [2], VIII.7.3). We also note that the G -module V defined by ω is faithful (this follows from the fact that ω generates $P(R)/Q(R)$, which can be checked from the tables). Thus the faithful representation of G in V defines a faithful representation of G' , and this implies that $G' \subset G$ (in fact, G' is the Levi subgroup of P).

Let us identify the graded components of V in various cases. Let $d_r = \dim V$. We have

$$d_4 = 10, \quad d_5 = 16, \quad d_6 = 27, \quad d_7 = 56.$$

The details given below show that for $r = 4, 5, 6$ the graded components of $\exp(x)$ of degree at least 3 are zero.

Let $R = A_4$. Then $G = \text{SL}(5)$, and G/P is the Grassmannian $G(2, 5)$. Let us denote by E_n the standard n -dimensional representation of $\text{SL}(n)$. We have $V = \Lambda^2(E_5)$, $\dim V = 10 = 1 + 6 + 3$. The group $G' = \text{SL}(2) \times \text{SL}(3)$ is embedded

into $\mathrm{SL}(5)$ in the obvious way, and the graded factors of V are $V_1 = E_2 \otimes E_3$, $V_2 = \Lambda^2(E_3) \cong E_3^*$. The map $p : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ sends x to the $\Lambda^2(E_3)$ -component of

$$x \wedge x \in \Lambda^2(E_5) = \Lambda^2(E_2) \oplus (E_2 \otimes E_3) \oplus \Lambda^2(E_3).$$

Let $R = D_5$. Then V is a spinor representation of $G = \mathrm{Spin}(10)$ of dimension $16 = 1 + 10 + 5$, and G/P is the isotropic Grassmannian (one of two families of maximal isotropic subspaces of the non-degenerate quadric of rank 10), $\dim G/P = 10$. The graded components are $V_1 = \Lambda^2(E_5)$ and $V_2 = \Lambda^4(E_5) \cong E_5^*$. The map $p : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ sends x to $x \wedge x$.

Let $R = E_6$. Then $\dim V = 27 = 1 + 16 + 10$, V_1 is the spinor representation of $\mathrm{Spin}(10)$ as above, and V_2 is the standard 10-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SO}(10)$. We have $\dim G/P = 16$.

Let $R = E_7$. Then $\dim V = 56 = 1 + 27 + 27 + 1$, V_1 is the 27-dimensional representation of the group of type E_6 considered above, $V_2 = (V_1)^*$, and $V_3 = k$ is the trivial 1-dimensional representation. (The graded components of degree at least 4 are zero.) We have $\dim G/P = 27$. We define $q : V_1 = \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow V_3 = k$ as the degree 3 graded component of $\exp(x)$. This is a E_6 -invariant cubic form in 27 variables. The 27 weight coordinates of $p(x)$ are partial derivatives of $q(x)$. This identifies the space G/P of type E_6 with the singular locus of the cubic hypersurface $q(x) = 0$.

Let us define a symmetric bilinear form $p(x, y)$ on V_1 with values in V_2 by the formula $p(x + y) = p(x) + 2p(x, y) + p(y)$. Then $\exp(x + y) = \exp(x)\exp(y)$ implies that

$$2p(x, y) = x \cdot y \tag{7}$$

is the product of $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_1$ in the commutative k -algebra V .

We have a decomposition of $S^2(V_1)$ as the direct sum of V_2 and the representation with highest weight $2\omega'$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 3.3). In the notation of [2] the representation V_2 is irreducible with highest weight ω_1 , in particular, it is minuscule. Thus the eigenspaces for the action of the maximal torus $H' = H \cap G'$ are 1-dimensional, so that on V_2 , in the same way as on V_1 , we have weight coordinates well defined up to a multiplicative constant. The coordinates $p_\lambda(x, y)$ of $p(x, y)$ are symmetric bilinear forms of degree 2 with values in k . We can write

$$p_\lambda(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda=\mu+\nu} p_{\mu\nu} x_\mu y_\nu, \tag{8}$$

where μ and ν are weights of V_1 , $p_{\mu\nu} \in k$, and x_μ is a non-zero linear form on the weight μ subspace $(V_1)_\mu \subset V_1$ (and similarly for y_ν). One checks that for $r = 4, 5, 6, 7$ the ranks of the quadratic forms $p_\lambda(x)$ are 4, 6, 8, 10, respectively. If $r = 7$ we associate to the cubic form

$$q(x) = \sum_{\mu+\nu+\xi=0} q_{\mu\nu\xi} x_\mu x_\nu x_\xi$$

the symmetric trilinear form

$$q(x, y, z) = \sum_{\mu+\nu+\xi=0} q_{\mu\nu\xi} x_\mu y_\nu z_\xi.$$

In this case the weights of V_2 are the negatives of the weights of V_1 . Moreover,

$$p_{-\mu}(x) = \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x_\mu},$$

so that

$$3q(x, y, z) = \sum_{\mu} p_{-\mu}(x, y) z_\mu, \quad p_{-\mu}(x, y) = \sum_{-\mu=\nu+\xi} 3q_{\mu\nu\xi} x_\nu y_\xi. \quad (9)$$

For future reference we note that if $p_\lambda(x, y) = 0$ for all λ , then $q(x, y, y) = 0$. It follows from $\exp(x + y) = \exp(x)\exp(y)$ that

$$3q(x, x, y) = p(x) \cdot y \quad (10)$$

is the product of $p(x) \in V_2$ and $y \in V_1$ in the commutative k -algebra V .

4 G/P and blowing-up

Let $\pi : (G/P)_a \rightarrow V_1$ be the restriction to $(G/P)_a$ of the natural projection $V = k \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3 \rightarrow V_1$. We have $\exp(x) = (1, x, p(x), q(x))$ hence $\pi \circ \exp = \text{id}$. Here and in what follows we write our formulae for the case $r = 7$, with the convention that if $r < 7$ the last coordinate must be discarded.

We now describe the fibres of π .

Lemma 4.1 *Let $g_t = (t, 1, t^{-1}, t^{-2})$, $t \in \bar{k}^*$. For $x \in V_1 \otimes_k \bar{k}$ we have the following statements.*

- (a) *If $x \notin (G'/P')_a$, then $\pi^{-1}(x) = \{g_t \cdot \exp(x) | t \in \bar{k}^*\}$.*
- (b) *If $x \in (G'/P')_a \setminus \{0\}$, then*

$$\pi^{-1}(x) = \{(t, x, 0, 0) | t \in \bar{k}^*\} \cup \{(0, x, 2p_\lambda(x, u), 3q(x, u, u)) | u \in V_1 \otimes_k \bar{k}\}.$$

Proof Recall that the torus T is generated by the maximal torus $H \subset G$ and the scalar matrices (t, t, t, t) , $t \in \bar{k}^*$. Let $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ be an element of the Lie algebra of H such that $\beta(h) = 0$ for all simple roots β of G , $\beta \neq \alpha$, and $\alpha(h) = 1$. The 1-parameter subgroup $\mathbf{G}_m \subset H$ whose tangent vector at the identity is h , acts on V as $(t^m, t^{m-1}, t^{m-2}, t^{m-3})$, where $m = \omega(h)$, and ω is the fundamental weight dual to α . Hence $g_t \in T$ for any $t \in \bar{k}^*$.

Every $\bar{k}$ -point $y = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3)$ of the closed set $(G/P)_a$ satisfies the equations

$$y_0 y_2 = p(y_1), \quad y_0^2 y_3 = q(y_1), \quad (11)$$

since these are satisfied on the affine cone over $\exp(V_1)$ which is dense in $(G/P)_a$. Therefore, if π sends a $\bar{k}$ -point y of $(G/P)_a$ to $x = y_1$, and $y_0 \neq 0$, we can write $y = g_t \cdot (1, x, p(x), q(x)) = g_t \cdot \exp(x)$ for $t = y_0 \in \bar{k}^*$. All such points are in $(G/P)_a$ since the action of T preserves $(G/P)_a$, and $\exp(V_1) \subset (G/P)_a$. If $y_0 = 0$ we see from (11) and Lemma 3.3 that $x \in (G'/P')_a$. This proves (a).

To prove (b) assume $x \in (G'/P')_a$, $x \neq 0$. If $y_0 \neq 0$, then $y = (t, x, 0, 0)$, by (11).

We need some preparations for the case $y_0 = 0$. Recall that V_0 is identified with k by the choice of a highest weight vector $v \in V_0$, and V_1 is identified with $\mathfrak{n}$. Consider $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{n}^-$, the opposite nilpotent algebra of $\mathfrak{n}$. Any non-zero element $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ sends V_i to V_{i-1} because of the grading. Hence we can write

$$\exp(Xt)(y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) = (y_0 + s(y_1, X)t + z_1t^2 + z_2t^3, y_1 + u_1t + u_2t^2, y_2 + wt, y_3),$$

where $z_1, z_2 \in k$, $u_1, u_2 \in V_1$, $w \in V_2$, and $s(y_1, X) \in k$ is defined by

$$s(y_1, X)v = Xy_1v = [X, y_1]v.$$

For any non-zero $y_1 \in \mathfrak{n} \otimes_k \bar{k} = V_1 \otimes_k \bar{k}$ one can find $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes_k \bar{k}$ such that $s(y_1, X) = 1$. Otherwise $\mathfrak{g}_1 y_1 v = 0$, and so $y_1 v$ is a highest vector of the $\mathfrak{g}$ -module $V \otimes_k \bar{k}$, which is not a multiple of v . This contradicts the irreducibility of $V \otimes_k \bar{k}$. Let us fix such an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes_k \bar{k}$.

Now let $y_0 = 0$. Then

$$g_{t^{-1}} \exp(Xt)(0, y_1, y_2, y_3) = (1 + z_1t + z_2t^2, y_1 + u_1t + u_2t^2, y_2t + wt^2, y_3t^2)$$

is a $\bar{k}[t]$ -point of $(G/P)_a$, and hence its coordinates satisfy (11) identically in t . Equating to 0 the coefficient at t in the first equation in (11) we obtain $y_2 = 2p(y_1, u)$, where $u = u_1$. Equating to 0 the coefficient at t^2 in the second equation, and using that $q(y_1, y_1, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V_1$ (see (9)) we obtain $y_3 = 3q(y_1, u, u)$.

To complete the proof of (b) we need to show that for any $\bar{k}$ -point $x \in (G'/P')_a$ and any $u \in V_1 \otimes_k \bar{k}$ the point $(0, x, 2p_\lambda(x, u), 3q(x, u, u))$ is contained in $(G/P)_a$. We note that

$$(0, x, 2p_\lambda(x, u), 3q(x, u, u)) = \exp(u) \cdot (0, x, 0, 0),$$

as immediately follows from (7) and (10). Since $\exp(u)$ is in the unipotent group $N \subset G$ it is enough to show that $(0, x, 0, 0)$ is in $(G/P)_a$. It is clear that $(1, x, 0, 0) = \exp(x)$ is in $(G/P)_a$. Choosing $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes_k \bar{k}$ as above such that $s(x, X) = -1$ we obtain $\exp(X)(1, x, 0, 0) = (0, x, 0, 0)$. QED

Corollary 4.2 *Let $U \subset (G/P)_a$ be the complement to the intersection of $(G/P)_a$ with $(V_0 \oplus V_1) \cup (V_2 \oplus V_3)$. The restriction of π to U is a morphism $U \rightarrow V_1 \setminus \{0\}$, which is the composition of a torsor under the torus $\mathbf{G}_m = \{g_t | t \in \bar{k}^*\}$, and the morphism inverse to the blowing-up of $V_1 \setminus \{0\}$ at $(G'/P')_a \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof The set U is covered by the open subsets $U_0 : y_0 \neq 0$, and $U_\lambda : y_\lambda \neq 0$, where the y_λ are the weight coordinates in V_2 . Indeed, if $y_0 = y_\lambda = 0$ for all λ , then we are in case (b) of Lemma 4.1, but $p_\lambda(x, u) = 0$ for all λ implies $q(x, u, u) = 0$, and such points are not in U . Each of these open subsets is $\mathbf{G}_m$ -equivariantly isomorphic to the direct product of $\mathbf{G}_m$ and the closed subvariety of $(G/P)_a$ given by $y_i = 1$ with trivial $\mathbf{G}_m$ -action. Gluing them together we obtain the quotient $\tilde{U}$.

The equations (11) show that $\pi^{-1}(0) \cap U = \emptyset$, thus π projects U to $V_1 \setminus \{0\}$. The action of $\mathbf{G}_m$ preserves the fibres, hence π factors through a morphism $\tilde{U} \rightarrow V_1 \setminus \{0\}$. It is an isomorphism outside $(G'/P')_a$, whereas the inverse image of $(G'/P')_a \setminus \{0\}$ is the projectivisation of the normal bundle to $(G'/P')_a \setminus \{0\}$ in $V_1 \setminus \{0\}$, by Lemma 4.1 (b). It is not hard to prove (and is well known to experts) that this implies that $\tilde{U}$ is the blowing-up of $V_1 \setminus \{0\}$ at $(G'/P')_a \setminus \{0\}$. QED

5 Del Pezzo surfaces

For the geometry of exceptional curves on del Pezzo surfaces the reader is referred to [16], Ch. IV, see also [10], Sect. 5. Let $M_1, \dots, M_r$, $4 \leq r \leq 7$, be points in general position in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$, which says that no three points are on a line, and no six points are on a conic. The blowing-up X of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $M_1, \dots, M_r$ is called a *split del Pezzo surface* of degree $d = 9 - r$. The surface X contains exactly d_r *exceptional curves*, that is, smooth rational curves with self-intersection -1 . For $r \leq 6$ the exceptional curves on X arise in one of these ways: the inverse images of the M_i ; the proper transforms of the lines through M_i and M_j , $i \neq j$; the proper transforms of the conics through five of the M_i . For $r = 7$ one also has the proper transforms of singular cubics passing through all the 7 points with a double point at some M_i . The intersection index defines an integral bilinear form $(\cdot)$ on $\text{Pic } X$. The opposite of the canonical class $-K_X$ is an ample divisor, $(K_X^2) = d$. The Picard group $\text{Pic } \overline{X} = \text{Pic } X$ is generated by the classes of exceptional curves (the complement to the union of these curves is an open subset of $\mathbb{A}^2$). The triple $(\text{Pic } X, K_X, (\cdot))$ coincides, up to isomorphism, with the triple $(N_r, K_r, (\cdot))$ defined as

$$N_r = \oplus_{i=0}^r \mathbf{Z}\ell_i, \quad K_r = -3\ell_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r \ell_i, \quad (\ell_0^2) = 1, \quad (\ell_i^2) = -1, \quad i \geq 1, \quad (\ell_i \cdot \ell_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

see [16, Thm. 23.9]. Moreover, the exceptional curves are identified with the elements $\ell \in N_r$ such that $(\ell^2) = (\ell \cdot K_r) = -1$, called the *exceptional classes* [16, Thm. 23.8]. By definition, a geometrically integral conic on X is a smooth rational curve with self-intersection 0. By Riemann–Roch theorem each conic belongs to a 1-dimensional pencil of curves which are fibres of a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, called a *conic bundle*. We refer to the fibres of such a morphism as *conics*. In particular,

through every point of X passes exactly one conic of a given pencil. The classes of conic bundles can be characterized by the properties $(c^2) = 0$, $(c.K_r) = -2$.

Let $K_r^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement to K_r in N_r . The elements $\alpha \in K_r^\perp$ such that $(\alpha^2) = -2$ form a root system R in the vector space $K_r^\perp \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^r$ with the negative definite scalar product $(\cdot)$. In fact, R is a root system of rank r in the series (5). Moreover, the lattice $K_r^\perp$ is generated by roots, so that $K_r^\perp \simeq Q(R)$. For example, we can choose

$$\beta_1 = -\ell_1 + \ell_2, \dots, \beta_{r-1} = -\ell_{r-1} + \ell_r, \beta_r = -\ell_0 + (\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3)$$

as a basis of simple roots of R . The relation to our standard numeration (which follows [2]) is this: $\alpha_r = \beta_{r-1}$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$.

The Weyl group $W = W(R)$ generated by the reflections in the roots, is the automorphism group of the triple $(N_r, K_r, (\cdot))$. It operates transitively on the set of exceptional curves, and also on the set of conic bundle classes, see, e.g. [10, Lemma 5.3]. Let

$$P(R) = \{n \in K_r^\perp \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid (n.m) \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for any } m \in Q(R)\}$$

be the lattice dual to $Q(R)$; we have $Q(R) \subset P(R)$. The image of the map

$$N_r \rightarrow N_r \otimes \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}K_r \oplus (K_r^\perp \otimes \mathbb{R})$$

is contained in the orthogonal direct sum $\frac{1}{d}\mathbf{Z}K_r \oplus P(R)$ as a subgroup of index d .

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\alpha = \beta_{r-1} \in R$ be the simple root such that (R, α) is one of the pairs (6), and let $\omega \in P(R)$ be the dual fundamental weight, $(\alpha, \omega) = -1$.*

- (i) *The exceptional classes in N_r are $-\frac{1}{d}K_r + w\omega$, for all $w \in W$.*
- (ii) *Two distinct exceptional curves intersect in X if and only if the corresponding weights are not adjacent vertices of the convex hull $\text{Conv}(W\omega)$.*
- (iii) *Let ω_1 be the fundamental weight dual to the root β_1 . The conic bundle classes in N_r are $-\frac{2}{d}K_r + w\omega_1$, for all $w \in W$.*

Note that since W acts transitively on the set of bases, the choice of a basis of simple roots is not important for the conclusion of this lemma.

Proof (i) and (iii) The image of the exceptional class ℓ_r in $P(R)$ is the fundamental weight $\omega = \omega_{r-1}$, and the image of the conic bundle class $\ell_0 - \ell_1$ is the fundamental weight ω_1 . The statement now follows from the transitivity of action of W on these classes. Cf. [10, Lemma 5.2].

(ii) By the transitivity of W on the exceptional classes it is enough to check this for the classes $-\frac{1}{d}K_r + \omega$ and $-\frac{1}{d}K_r + x$, where $x = w\omega$ for some $w \in W$. The intersection index

$$\left(-\frac{1}{d}K_r + x, -\frac{1}{d}K_r + \omega\right) = \frac{1}{d} + (x, \omega) \quad (12)$$

equals $-L(x)$ in the notation of the proof of Proposition 2.4 (with the opposite sign of the scalar product). In the simply laced case this proof shows that $L(x) = 1$ when $x = \omega$, $L(x) = 0$ if x is a vertex of the convex hull $\text{Conv}(W\omega)$ adjacent to ω , and $L(x) < 0$ for all other $x \in W\omega$. QED

We observe that for any conic bundle class x there exists a conic bundle class y such that $(x, y) = 1$. Indeed, by the transitivity of W on conic bundle classes we can assume that $x = \ell_0 - \ell_1$. For $y = \ell_0 - \ell_2$ we have $(x, y) = 1$.

6 Main theorem

Let us recall our notation:

(R, α) be the pair in (6) such that R has rank r ;

G is the simply connected semisimple group with a split maximal torus H and a maximal parabolic subgroup $P \supset H$, such that (G, P) is defined by the pair (R, α) ;

V is the fundamental representation of G such that P is the stabilizer of the line spanned by a highest weight vector (this representation is faithful);

$T \subset \text{GL}(V)$ is the torus generated by the image of H in $\text{GL}(V)$, and the scalar matrices;

Y is the geometric quotient of $(G/P)_a^{sf} \subset (G/P)_a$ with respect to the natural left action of T ;

the morphism $f : (G/P)_a^{sf} \rightarrow Y$ is a universal torsor (see Theorem 2.7).

Let $\Lambda \subset \hat{H}$ be the set of weights of H in V , and let $V_\lambda \subset V$ be the subspace of weight λ , so that $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$. In our case $\dim V_\lambda = 1$ (since V is minuscule, see Section 3). Let $\pi_\lambda : V \rightarrow V_\lambda$ be the natural projections, and let $L_\lambda = \pi_\lambda^{-1}(0)$ be the weight coordinate hyperplanes. For a subset $A \subset V$ we write $A^\times$ for the set of points of A outside $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_\lambda$. For a subset $B \subset Y$ we write $B^\times$ for $f(f^{-1}(B)^\times)$.

We now state our main theorem whose proof occupies the rest of the paper.

Theorem 6.1 *For $r = 4, 5, 6$ or 7 let $M_1, \dots, M_r$ be points in general position in $\mathbb{P}^2$ (no three on a line, no six on a conic). Let X be the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $M_1, \dots, M_r$. There exists an embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$ such that $X \setminus X^\times$ is the union of exceptional curves on X . For such an embedding $f^{-1}(X) \rightarrow X$ is a universal torsor.*

We write $S_\chi^n(V)$ for the weight $\chi \in \hat{H}$ subspace of $S^n(V)$, and $S_\chi^n(V)^*$ for the dual space of functions. Let $I(\mathcal{T}) \subset k[V] = S(V^*)$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{T}$. We shall prove the following statement from which the main theorem will follow:

There exists an embedding of a universal torsor $\mathcal{T}$ over X into $(G/P)_a^{sf} \subset V$ such that the restriction of f to $\mathcal{T}$ is the structure morphism $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$, and $f(\mathcal{T}^\times)$ is the complement to the union of exceptional curves on X . Moreover, for $r < 7$ the ideal

$I(\mathcal{T}^\times) \subset k[V^\times]$ is generated by the graded components of degree 2 and weight $w\omega_1$, for all $w \in W$.

The last statement will be used in the case $r = 7$, and can be ignored by the reader interested in the cases $r = 5$ and $r = 6$ only. Recall that ω_1 is the highest weight of a non-trivial irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of least dimension.

Proof The proof is by induction on r starting from $r = 4$. In this case Y is a del Pezzo surface of degree 5, G/P is the Grassmannian variety $G(3, 5) \simeq G(2, 5)$, and $G(3, 5)^{sf} = G(3, 5)^s$ is a universal torsor over Y (see [23] or [22, Lemma 3.1.6]). It is well known that the ideal of $G(3, 5)_a \subset V$ is generated by the (quadratic) Plücker relations, and it is easy to see that their weights are of the form $w\omega_1$, so that our statement is true in this case.

Suppose we know the statement for $r - 1 \geq 4$. This means that we are given the following data:

- (R', α') is the ‘previous’ pair to (R, α) in (6);
- $W' = W(R')$ is the Weyl group;
- G' and P' are defined by (R', α') , so that $(G'/P')_a \subset V_1$ (see Section 3);
- $H' = H \cap G'$, so that R' is the root system of G' with respect to H' ;
- $T' \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_1)$ is the torus generated by the image of H' in $\mathrm{GL}(V_1)$ and the scalars (T' is also the image of H in $\mathrm{GL}(V_1)$);
- x_μ is a non-zero linear form on the weight μ subspace of V_1 ;
- Y' is the quotient of $(G'/P')_a^{sf}$ by T' ;
- $f' : (G'/P')_a^{sf} \rightarrow Y'$ is a universal torsor;
- X' is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $M_1, \dots, M_{r-1}$ (it is a del Pezzo surface of degree $d' = 8 - r$);

an embedding $X' \hookrightarrow Y'$ satisfying the conditions of the theorem, in particular,

$\mathcal{T}' = f'^{-1}(X') \rightarrow X'$ is a universal torsor.

The general position assumption implies that M_r does not belong to the exceptional curves of X' . Thus, by Hilbert’s theorem 90, we can find a k -point $x_0 \in \mathcal{T}'^\times$ such that $f'(x_0) = M_r$.

Let $\tau : \hat{T}' \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic} X'$ be the map defined in Section 1; up to sign τ coincides with the type of the torsor $f' : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow X'$ (Lemma 1.2). Since the torsor $f' : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow X'$ is universal, τ is an isomorphism of $\hat{T}' = K[\mathcal{T}'_K]^*/K^*$ and $\mathrm{Pic} X'$ as abelian groups. To account for the duality between vectors and linear forms on V_1 we identify these groups by the isomorphism $-\tau$. Recall that the Weyl group W' acts on $\hat{T}'$ via the normalizer of H' in G' , permuting the weights of V_1 . By induction assumption $-\tau$ sends these weights bijectively onto the exceptional classes in $\mathrm{Pic} X'$. If we transport the action of W' from $\hat{T}'$ to $\mathrm{Pic} X'$ using $-\tau$, then the action of W' so obtained preserves the intersection index of exceptional curves, see (12). Thus $-\tau$ is a homomorphism of W' -modules, where W' acts on $\mathrm{Pic} X'$ as the

automorphism group of the triple $(N_{r-1}, K_{r-1}, (\cdot))$. In particular, $-\tau$ identifies the W' -(co)invariants on both sides (isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}$). This implies that if χ is a weight of T' in $S^n(V_1)$, then the restriction of χ to the scalar matrices $\mathbf{G}_m \subset T'$ coincides with the intersection index of $-\tau(\chi)$ with $-K_{X'}$, that is,

$$(\tau(\chi).K_{X'}) = n \quad (13)$$

(the sign is uniquely determined by the fact that effective divisors intersect positively with $-K_{X'}$). The isomorphism $-\tau$ also identifies the quotients by the W' -invariants, that is, $P(R')$ and $\hat{H}'$. We fix these identifications from now on.

For $\phi(x) \in S_\chi^n(V_1)^*$, $\chi \in \hat{T}'$, we let $C_\phi \subset X'$ be the image of the intersection of T' with the T' -invariant hypersurface $\phi(x) = 0$. If $C_\phi \neq X'$, then the class $[C_\phi]$ in $\text{Pic } X'$ is $-\tau(\chi)$, and (13) can be written as

$$([C_\phi]. -K_{X'}) = n. \quad (14)$$

We have (see the end of Section 1 for the first equality)

$$H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{-\chi}) = k[T']_{-\chi} = S_\chi^n(V_1)^*/I(T') \cap S_\chi^n(V_1)^*. \quad (15)$$

Apart from the weights of V_1 which correspond to exceptional curves, the following two cases will be particularly relevant. For $n = 2$ let λ be a weight of T' in V_2 . The restriction of λ to H' is $w\omega_1 \in \hat{H}' = P(R')$, where $w \in W'$ (see the end of Section 3). If $\phi \in S_\lambda^2(V_1)^*$ is such that $C_\phi \neq X'$, then by (14) we see that $[C_\phi] = -\frac{2}{d'}K_{X'} + w\omega_1$, so C_ϕ is a conic on X' by Lemma 5.1 (iii). The Riemann–Roch theorem implies that $\dim H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{-\lambda}) = 2$, where $\mathcal{O}_{-\lambda} = \mathcal{O}(C_\phi)$ is the invertible sheaf associated to C_ϕ . Thus $I(T') \cap S_\lambda^2(V_1)^*$ has codimension 2 in $S_\lambda^2(V_1)^*$. Note that by Lemma 3.3 we have $p_\lambda(x) \in I(T') \cap S_\lambda^2(V_1)^*$.

For $r = 7$ and $n = 3$ the space V_3 is a trivial 1-dimensional representation of G' , hence of weight $0 \in \hat{H}'$. Thus for $\phi \in S_0^3(V_1)^*$ we have $[C_\phi] = -K_{X'}$, by (14). If $C_\phi \neq X'$, then C_ϕ is a plane section of the cubic surface $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. The vector space $H^0(X', \mathcal{O}(C_\phi)) = H^0(X', \mathcal{O}(-K_{X'}))$ has dimension 4, thus $I_0 = I(T') \cap S_0^3(V_1)^*$ has codimension 4 in $S_0^3(V_1)^*$. It is clear that $q(x) \in I_0$, see, e.g., (10).

The following proposition is a crucial technical step in the proof of our main theorem.

Proposition 6.2 *There exists a non-empty open subset $\Omega(x_0) \subset (G'/P')_a^\times$ such that for any $y_0 \in \Omega(x_0)$ we have $p_\lambda(x_0^{-1}y_0x) \notin I(T') \cap S_\lambda^2(V_1)^*$ for all weights λ of V_2 , and $q(x_0^{-1}y_0x) \notin I_0$ if $r = 7$.*

Proof We begin with pointing out the following useful fact. Let Ver_λ be the composition of the second Veronese embedding $V_1 \rightarrow S^2(V_1)$ with the projection of $S^2(V_1)$ to its direct summand $S_\lambda^2(V_1)$. By Lemma 3.3, $p_\lambda(x) = 0$ is the only quadratic

equation of G'/P' of weight λ , thus $\text{Ver}_\lambda((G'/P')_a)$ spans a codimension 1 subspace of $S_\lambda^2(V_1)$, namely, the zero set of the linear form $p_\lambda(x) \in S_\lambda^2(V_1)^*$.

Next, we claim that the quadratic forms $p_\lambda(x_0^{-1}y_0x)$, $y_0 \in (G'/P')_a^\times$, span a codimension 1 subspace of $S_\lambda^2(V_1)^*$. Using (8) we write

$$p_\lambda(x_0^{-1}y_0x) = \sum_{\lambda=\mu+\nu} p_{\mu\nu} \frac{y_{0\mu}y_{0\nu}}{x_{0\mu}x_{0\nu}} x_\mu x_\nu.$$

Suppose that for some coefficients $c_{\mu\nu}$ we have a linear relation

$$\sum_{\lambda=\mu+\nu} c_{\mu\nu} p_{\mu\nu} \frac{y_{0\mu}y_{0\nu}}{x_{0\mu}x_{0\nu}} = 0.$$

This can be read as a relation with coefficients $c_{\mu\nu} p_{\mu\nu} x_{0\mu}^{-1} x_{0\nu}^{-1}$ satisfied by all the vectors $(y_{0\mu}y_{0\nu})$, where $y_0 \in (G'/P')_a^\times$ and $\mu + \nu = \lambda$. The set of these vectors is precisely $\text{Ver}_\lambda((G'/P')_a^\times)$. The linear span of $\text{Ver}_\lambda((G'/P')_a^\times)$ is the same as the linear span of $\text{Ver}_\lambda((G'/P')_a)$. By the argument in the beginning of the proof, up to a multiplicative constant there is only one linear relation satisfied by the elements of $\text{Ver}_\lambda((G'/P')_a)$, namely the one with coefficients $p_{\mu\nu}$. Therefore, $c_{\mu\nu} = x_{0\mu}x_{0\nu}$ is uniquely determined up to a multiplicative constant. This proves our claim. Note that the linear span under discussion is thus the space of forms vanishing at x_0 .

It follows that the set of $\bar{k}$ -points $y \in (G'/P')_a^\times$ such that $p_\lambda(x_0^{-1}yx)$ belongs to the codimension 2 subspace $I(\mathcal{T}') \cap S_\lambda^2(V_1)^*$, is a proper closed subset of $(G'/P')_a^\times$. For $r < 7$ we define $\Omega(x_0)$ as the complement to the union of these closed subsets for all weights λ of V_2 .

Until the rest of the proof we let $r = 7$. Let $\text{Ver}_0^3 : V_1 \rightarrow S_0^3(V_1)$ be the composition of the natural map $V_1 \rightarrow S^3(V_1)$ with the projection $S^3(V_1) \rightarrow S_0^3(V_1)$. The map Ver_0^3 sends $x = (x_\mu)$ to the vector $(x_\mu x_\nu x_\xi)$, for all μ, ν, ξ such that $\mu + \nu + \xi = 0$. If we write the invariant cubic form (defined up to a scalar multiple) as

$$q(x) = \sum_{\mu+\nu+\xi=0} q_{\mu\nu\xi} x_\mu x_\nu x_\xi,$$

then it is well known that all the coefficients $q_{\mu\nu\xi}$ are non-zero (see, e.g., [9]). Recall that the singular locus of the cubic hypersurface $q(x) = 0$ is $(G'/P')_a$.

Let $L_{x_0} \subset S_0^3(V_1)^*$ be the subspace of forms vanishing at x_0 together with all their (first order) partial derivatives. We claim that L_{x_0} coincides with the linear span of the forms $q(x_0^{-1}y_0u)$, where y_0 ranges over $(G'/P')_a$.

Let us prove this claim. The partial derivatives of $q(x)$ vanish on $(G'/P')_a$, hence $q(x_0^{-1}y_0u) \in L_{x_0}$ for any $y_0 \in (G'/P')_a$. Thus the linear span of the forms $q(x_0^{-1}y_0u)$, where $y_0 \in (G'/P')_a$, is contained in L_{x_0} . We now prove that these spaces have the same dimension.

Let $f(x) = \sum_{\mu+\nu+\xi=0} f_{\mu\nu\xi} x_\mu x_\nu x_\xi$ be a form in L_{x_0} . The partial derivative with respect to x_ξ is $3 \sum_{\mu+\nu=-\xi} f_{\mu\nu\xi} x_\mu x_\nu$. It vanishes at $x_0 \in V_1^\times$ if and only if

$$x_\xi \sum_{\mu+\nu=-\xi} f_{\mu\nu\xi} x_\mu x_\nu = \sum_{\mu+\nu=-\xi} q_{\mu\nu\xi}^{-1} f_{\mu\nu\xi} \cdot q_{\mu\nu\xi} x_\mu x_\nu x_\xi$$

does. Hence $(q_{\mu\nu\xi}^{-1} L_{x_0})^\perp$ is spanned by the 27 vectors $(q_{\mu\nu\xi} x_{0\mu} x_{0\nu} x_{0\xi})$, where ξ is fixed, and μ, ν are arbitrary. Since the coordinates of x_0 are non-zero, this space has the same dimension as the space $M \subset S_0^3(V_1)$ spanned by the 27 vectors $(q_{\mu\nu\xi})$, where ξ is fixed, and μ, ν are arbitrary weights satisfying $\mu + \nu + \xi = 0$. The fact that the ideal of $(G'/P')_a$ is generated by the partial derivatives of $q(x)$, implies that $M^\perp$ is the linear span of $\text{Ver}_0^3((G'/P')_a)$. We conclude that $\dim L_{x_0}$ equals the dimension of this linear span. Since all the coefficients $q_{\mu\nu\xi}$ are non-zero, the forms $q(x_0^{-1} y_0 u)$, where $y_0 \in (G'/P')_a$, span the space of the same dimension. This proves our claim.

Let us complete the proof of the proposition in the case $r = 7$. A cubic form $f \in S_0^3(V_1)^*$ is in L_{x_0} if and only if $f(x) = 0$ is singular at $x_0 \in V_1^\times$. This is the case if and only if the corresponding hyperplane $H_f \subset S_0^3(V_1)$ contains the tangent space Φ to $\text{Ver}_0^3(V_1)$ at the point $m = \text{Ver}_0^3(x_0)$. We have a commutative diagram (cf. (15) and (2))

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \leftarrow & \mathcal{T}' & \hookrightarrow & V_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}(H^0(X', \mathcal{O}(-K_{X'}))^*) & \leftarrow & H^0(X', \mathcal{O}(-K_{X'}))^* \setminus \{0\} & \hookrightarrow & S_0^3(V_1) \end{array}$$

where the left hand vertical map is the anticanonical embedding of X' , and the other two are Ver_0^3 . The image of $\mathcal{T}'$ in the 4-dimensional vector space

$$H^0(X', \mathcal{O}(-K_{X'}))^* = (k[\mathcal{T}'] \cap S_0^3(V_1)^*)^* = (S_0^3(V_1)^*/I_0)^* \simeq \mathbb{A}^4 \subset S_0^3(V_1)$$

is the affine cone X'_a (without 0) over the cubic surface $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

By induction assumption $I(\mathcal{T}'^\times)$ is generated by its graded components I_λ of degree 2 and weight λ , for all weights λ of V_2 . The weights of V_1 are the negatives of the weights of V_2 , so that $x_{-\lambda} I_\lambda$ has degree 3 and weight 0. Since the coordinates $x_{-\lambda}$ are invertible on $\mathcal{T}'^\times$, the ideal $I(\mathcal{T}'^\times)$ is generated by its graded component of degree 3 and weight 0. Hence locally in the neighbourhood $\mathcal{T}'^\times$ of x_0 the ideal $I(\mathcal{T}')$ is generated by I_0 , that is, by the equations of $\mathbb{A}^4$ in $S_0^3(V_1)$.

This implies that the tangent space $T_{X'_a, m} \subset \mathbb{A}^4$ is $\Phi \cap \mathbb{A}^4$. Thus for any f in a dense open subset of L_{x_0} we have $H_f \cap \mathbb{A}^4 = T_{X'_a, m}$. Since $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a smooth cubic surface, $X'_a \setminus T_{X'_a, m}$ is dense and open in X'_a . Therefore, for the general $f \in L_{x_0}$ we have $X'_a \cap H_f \neq X'_a$, so that $f \notin I_0$. Now the above claim implies the statement of proposition. QED

Corollary 6.3 *For any k -point $y_0 \in \Omega(x_0)$ and any weight λ of V_2 the closed subset of $\mathcal{T}'$ given by $p_\lambda(x_0^{-1}y_0x) = 0$ is the preimage $f'^{-1}(C_\lambda)$ of a geometrically integral k -conic $C_\lambda \subset X'$ passing through M_r . For $r = 7$ the closed subset of $\mathcal{T}'$ given by $q(x_0^{-1}y_0x) = 0$, for any $y_0 \in \Omega(x_0)$, is the preimage $f'^{-1}(Q)$ of a geometrically integral cubic k -curve Q with a double point at M_r (the intersection of the cubic surface X' with its tangent plane at M_r).*

Proof To check that $M_r \in C_\lambda$ set $x = x_0$, then $p_\lambda(x_0^{-1}y_0x) = p_\lambda(y_0) = 0$ by Lemma 3.3 since $y_0 \in (G'/P')_a$. If the conic C_λ is not geometrically integral, then its components must have intersection index 1 with $-K_{X'}$, so there are two of them. It is well known that a curve on X' has such a property if and only if it is an exceptional curve. However, M_r does not belong to the exceptional curves of X' . Thus C_λ is geometrically integral.

If $r = 7$, by substituting $x = x_0$ one shows as before that Q contains M_7 (the cubic form q vanishes on G'/P'). Since the $p_\lambda(x)$ are partial derivatives of $q(x)$, and $M_7 \in C_\lambda$, we see that Q has a double point at M_7 . If Q is not geometrically integral, then it is the union of a geometrically integral conic and an exceptional curve, or the union of three exceptional curves. In each of these cases the singular point $M_7 \subset Q$ will have to lie on an exceptional curve, and this is a contradiction. QED

Corollary 6.4 *For any $y_0 \in \Omega(x_0)$ the scheme-theoretic intersection of $x_0^{-1}y_0\mathcal{T}'$ and $(G'/P')_a$ is the orbit $T'y_0$.*

Proof By Lemma 3.3 the ideal of $(G'/P')_a$ is generated by $p_\lambda(x)$, for all weights λ of V_2 . As was remarked at the end of Section 5, there exist weights λ and ν such that the intersection index of C_λ and C_ν on X' is 1, that is, M_r is the scheme-theoretic intersection $C_\lambda \cap C_\nu$. Thus the orbit $T'y_0$ is the closed subscheme of $x_0^{-1}y_0\mathcal{T}'$ given by $p_\lambda(x) = p_\nu(x) = 0$, and our statement follows. QED

Let $\sigma : X = \text{Bl}_{M_r}(X') \rightarrow X'$ be the morphism inverse to the blowing-up of M_r . Then σ induces an isomorphism of $X \setminus \sigma^{-1}(M_r)$ with $X' \setminus M_r$, and $\sigma^{-1}(M_r) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. The proper transform of a curve $D \subset X'$ is defined as the closure of $\sigma^{-1}(D \setminus M_r)$ in X . The comparison of intersection indices on X' and X shows that the proper transforms of the conics C_λ and the singular cubic Q (for $r = 7$) are exceptional curves on X . By comparing the numbers we see that these curves together with $\sigma^{-1}(M_r)$ and the inverse images of the exceptional curves on X' give the full set of exceptional curves on X .

End of proof of Theorem 6.1. Consider the open set $U \subset (G/P)_a$ and the morphism $\pi : U \rightarrow V_1 \setminus \{0\}$, see Corollary 4.2. Choose any $y_0 \in \Omega(x_0)$, and define $\mathcal{T} \subset U$ as the ‘proper transform’ of $x_0^{-1}y_0\mathcal{T}'$ with respect to π . Explicitly, $\mathcal{T} \subset U$ is defined as the Zariski closure of

$$\pi^{-1}(x_0^{-1}y_0\mathcal{T}' \setminus (G'/P')_a) = \pi^{-1}(x_0^{-1}y_0\mathcal{T}' \setminus T'y_0),$$

where the equality is due to Corollary 6.4. The torus T' acts on $\mathcal{T}'$, and π is T' -equivariant, hence T' acts on $\mathcal{T}$. But $\mathbf{G}_m = \{g_t\}$ (see Lemma 4.1) also acts on $\mathcal{T}$. The torus T is generated by T' and $\mathbf{G}_m = \{g_t\}$, so that T acts on $\mathcal{T}$.

Corollaries 4.2 and 6.4 imply that the restriction of π to $\mathcal{T}$ is the composition of a torsor under $\mathbf{G}_m = \{g_t\}$ and the morphism $\mathrm{Bl}_{y_0 T'}(x_0^{-1} y_0 \mathcal{T}') \rightarrow x_0^{-1} y_0 \mathcal{T}'$ inverse to the blowing-up of the orbit $T' y_0$ in $x_0^{-1} y_0 \mathcal{T}'$. The blowing-up of $T' y_0$ in $x_0^{-1} y_0 \mathcal{T}'$ is naturally isomorphic to the pullback $\mathcal{T}' \times_{X'} X$ of the torsor $\mathcal{T}' \rightarrow X'$ to X . This can be summarized in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}' \times_{X'} X & \longrightarrow & X \\ & & \downarrow & & \sigma \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{T}' & \longrightarrow & X' \end{array} \quad (16)$$

where the horizontal arrows are torsors under tori, and the vertical arrows are contractions. The composed morphism $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is a composition of two torsors under tori, and hence is an affine morphism whose fibres are orbits of T . Therefore $\mathcal{T}$ is an X -torsor under T , by Lemma 1.1. We obtain a T -equivariant embedding $\mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow (G/P)_a$.

For $r < 7$ we note that $I(\mathcal{T}^\times) \subset k[V^\times]$ is generated by $I(x_0^{-1} y_0 \mathcal{T}'^\times)$ and the equations of $(G/P)_a$, moreover, for each weight $w\omega_1$, $w \in W$, there is exactly one quadratic equation, by Lemma 3.3. The restriction of $\omega_1 \in \hat{H} = P(R)$ to H' is again the weight $\omega_1 \in \hat{H}' = P(R')$. By induction assumption $I(\mathcal{T}'^\times)$ is generated by its graded components of degree 2 of such weights, hence the same is true for $I(\mathcal{T}^\times)$.

It remains to prove that $\mathcal{T} \subset (G/P)_a^{sf}$, and that the torsor $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is universal. The action of T on $\mathcal{T}$ is free, so let us show that every point of $\mathcal{T}$ is stable. We claim that f sends the weight hyperplane sections of $\mathcal{T}$ to the exceptional curves on X . By the results of Section 4 this follows from induction assumption for the weights of V_1 , and from Corollary 6.3 for the weights of $V_2 \oplus V_3$. Corollary 6.4 implies that the highest weight hyperplane $x_\omega = 0$ corresponds to $\sigma^{-1}(M_r)$. By Lemma 5.1 (ii) the set of exceptional curves of X is identified with the set $W\omega$ in such a way that two distinct exceptional curves intersect in X if and only if the corresponding weights are not adjacent vertices of the convex hull $\mathrm{Conv}(W\omega)$. Now Proposition 2.4 implies that $\mathcal{T} \subset (G/P)_a^{sf}$. We thus obtain an embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$.

The pull-back of the torsor $(G/P)_a^{sf} \rightarrow Y$ to X gives rise to the following commutative diagram, where the horizontal arrows represent the types of corresponding torsors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{T} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Pic} Y \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \hat{T} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic} X \end{array}$$

The upper horizontal arrow is an isomorphism since the torsor $(G/P)_a^{sf} \rightarrow Y$ is universal, by Theorem 2.7. Since the exceptional curves on X are cut by divisors on

Y , the restriction map $\text{Pic } Y \rightarrow \text{Pic } X$ is surjective. However, the ranks of $\text{Pic } Y$ and $\text{Pic } X$ are equal, so this map is an isomorphism. Now it follows from the diagram that the type of the torsor $f : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism, so that this torsor is universal as well. The theorem is proved. QED

References

- [1] V.V. Batyrev and O.N. Popov. The Cox ring of a del Pezzo surface. In: *Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties* (Palo Alto, 2002), Progr. Math. **226** Birkhäuser, 2004, 85–103.
- [2] N. Bourbaki. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*. Chapitres IV-VIII. Masson, Paris, 1975, 1981.
- [3] J-L. Colliot-Thélène et J-J. Sansuc. La descente sur les variétés rationnelles, II. *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987) 375–492.
- [4] R. Dabrowski. On normality of the closure of a generic torus orbit in G/P . *Pacific J. Math.* **172** (1996) 321–330.
- [5] U. Derenthal. Universal torsors of Del Pezzo surfaces and homogeneous spaces. *Adv. Math.*, to appear. arXiv:math.AG/0604195
- [6] U. Derenthal. On the Cox ring of Del Pezzo surfaces. arXiv:math.AG/0603111
- [7] I.V. Dolgachev. *Lectures on invariant theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [8] H. Flaschka and L. Haine. Torus orbits in G/P . *Pacific J. Math.* **149** (1991) 251–292.
- [9] J. Faulkner. Generalized quadrangles and cubic forms. *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001) 4641–4653.
- [10] R. Friedman and J.W. Morgan. Exceptional groups and del Pezzo surfaces. In: Symposium in Honor of C. H. Clemens (Salt Lake City, UT, 2000), 101–116, *Contemp. Math.* **312** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [11] I.M. Gelfand and V.V. Serganova. Combinatorial geometries and the strata of a torus on homogeneous compact manifolds. (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **42** (1987) 107–134.
- [12] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [13] S. Helgason. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Graduate Studies in Math. **34** Amer. Math. Soc. 1978, 2001.

- [14] G. Lancaster and J. Towber. Representation-functors and flag-algebras for the classical groups. I. *J. Algebra* **59** (1979) 16–38.
- [15] N.C. Leung. ADE-bundles over rational surfaces, configuration of lines and rulings. math.AG/0009192
- [16] Yu.I. Manin. *Cubic forms*. 2nd. ed. North-Holland, 1986.
- [17] L. Manivel. Configurations of lines and models of Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* **304** (2006) 457–486.
- [18] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*. 3rd enlarged edition. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [19] A.L. Onishchik and E.B. Vinberg. *Lie groups and algebraic groups*. Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [20] V.L. Popov. Picard groups of homogeneous spaces of linear algebraic groups and one-dimensional homogeneous vector fiberings. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **38** (1974) 294–322.
- [21] O.N. Popov. *Del Pezzo surfaces and algebraic groups*. Diplomarbeit, Universität Tübingen, 2001.
- [22] A.N. Skorobogatov. *Torsors and rational points*. Cambridge tracts in mathematics **144**, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [23] A.N. Skorobogatov. On a theorem of Enriques–Swinerton-Dyer. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* **2** (1993) 429–440.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720-3840
USA

serganov@math.berkeley.edu

Department of Mathematics, South Kensington Campus, Imperial College London,
SW7 2BZ England, U.K.

Institute for the Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences,
19 Bolshoi Karetnyi, Moscow, 127994 Russia

a.skorobogatov@imperial.ac.uk